

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/081,319	KAPILA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Daniel S. Metzmaier	1712

All Participants:

Status of Application: Amended

(1) Daniel S. Metzmaier. (3) _____.

(2) Dan Cleveland, Jr.. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 April 2004

Time: approximately 01:00 PM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none.

Claims discussed:

none.

Prior art documents discussed:

none.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: pointed out to applicant that the second paragraph on page 1, which appears to read "technical oils" was marked by what appears to be an ink mark. indicated the terminal disclaimer was a copy of that filed in the copending application and was not acceptable to obviate the rejection in the instant application..